

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,484		Raymond M. Broemmelsiek	C4-971C	4741	
26799	7590 10/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT TYCO FIRE & SECURITY SERVICES ONE TOWN CENTER ROAD			PARSONS, CHARLES E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOCARAT	ON, FL 33486		2613		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/801,484	BROEMMELSIEK, F	RAYMOND M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles E Parsons	2613				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Therefo final re condition	EPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA bre, further action by the applicant is required to a jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repict of the capplication of	ply to a_ cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Pensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP			
37 CFR (b) above earned p	In filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneds, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in on the after the mailing date of the final reju	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in			
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:						
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		-			
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. 🗌 .	The drawing correction filed on $\_\_\_$ is a) $\Box$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. 🗌	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Λ			
10.	Other:		CHRIS KELLEY	ly			
		SUPER	VISORY PATENT EXA	Military (			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments in support of the affidavit are not persuasive. The exerpts from the statement of work cited do not contain all of the elements claimed for example inter alia it macks no mention of a qualifying parameter, or how to determine one required by the claims. The statement of Work does not show a reduction to practice. Furthermore it specifically states that the subcontractor(in this case the applicant) will "help establish the technology concept" meaning that others were involved in the conception. It actually shows that the customer concieved of the idea and the subcontractor (who is the applicant) is helping in reducing it to practise.